To: allison.castellan@noaa.gov[allison.castellan@noaa.gov]; Carlin, Jayne[Carlin.Jayne@epa.gov]

**Cc:** Wu, Jennifer[Wu.Jennifer@epa.gov]; Henning, Alan[Henning.Alan@epa.gov]

From: Waye, Don

**Sent:** Thur 9/4/2014 7:27:37 PM

Subject: RE: Requesting Your Input on Specific Language in Oregon's Draft NPS Plan Regarding

CZARA (ASAP as the comment period ended today)

Jayne,

I'm weighing in a bit late, but I agree with Allison. While I don't have a problem with the way the state wrote any of this up, I see the value in Allison's modest tweaks.

-Don

From: Allison Castellan - NOAA Federal [mailto:allison.castellan@noaa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, September 03, 2014 9:28 AM

To: Carlin, Jayne

Cc: Waye, Don; Wu, Jennifer; Henning, Alan

Subject: Re: Requesting Your Input on Specific Language in Oregon's Draft NPS Plan

Regarding CZARA (ASAP as the comment period ended today)

Jayne--

Thanks for taking a close look at OR's 319 plan. A few responses to your questions....

- 1. I don't think there's anything technical wrong with what the state's written here. Of course, they have a slightly different spin than what we would say it. If we wanted to suggest something else we could say: "Where there is information to indicate that these 56 management measures are not sufficient to attain water quality standards or protect designated uses, CZARA requires that additional management measures be developed."
- 2. Yes, that is correct phrasing and what we've used in the past as well.

ED\_001135\_00009754 EPA\_002434

3. I would suggest a slight revision just say "The state is also being required by EPA and NOAA to adopt and implement additional management measures for forestry because science indicates that the existing forestry practices are not adequate to protect water quality and designated uses." This language comes from the conditional approval findings and more accurately captures why the state needs to adopt add MMs.

On Tue, Sep 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM, Carlin, Jayne < Carlin. Jayne@epa.gov > wrote:

Hi All,

I am closely reviewing OR's NPS Plan and a few statements in the CZARA Section jumped out at me (see highlighted statements below). I wanted to get your thoughts as to whether Oregon's statements are accurate.

CZARA requires states with approved coastal management programs to implement a set of 56 management measures that reduce NPS pollution. The measures are designed to control runoff from six main sources: forestry, agriculture, urban areas, marinas, hydromodification (such as dams or shoreline and stream channel modification), and wetlands and vegetated shorelines, or riparian areas. Where there is information to indicate that these 56 management measures are not sufficient to attain water quality standards, or protect critical coastal waters, states are required to develop and implement additional management measures.

I prefer "Where there is information to indicate that these 56 management measures are not sufficient to attain water quality standards, or protect critical coastal waters, additional management measures should be included to the state's CNPCP" as I recall our discussing with our attorneys that either the feds or the state can include the additional MMs.

I also would like your input on the highlighted phase below:

Oregon submitted elements of its plan for approval to NOAA and EPA in 1995. On January 13, 1998, the federal agencies approved the Oregon Coastal Nonpoint Program subject to specific conditions that the state still needed to address (see "Oregon Conditional Approval Findings") at <a href="http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/nonpoint/docs/findor.txt">http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/nonpoint/docs/findor.txt</a>

Is this how we like to word the status of Oregon's program?

ED\_001135\_00009754 EPA\_002435

Since 1998, Oregon has received interim approval on all but two of the (g) Guidance management measures and its strategies for meeting other required elements of the program. The state is also being required by EPA and NOAA to adopt and implement additional management measures for forestry due to the number of 303(d) listed stream segments and the presence of endangered salmon and steelhead species within the CNPCP management area. *Do we agree with the highlighted section and how it is worded?* 

Thanks!

Jayne

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit US EPA, Region 10 1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134) Seattle, WA 98101-3140 (206) 553-8512, (206) 553-0165 (fax) carlin.jayne@epa.gov

http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm

--

~~ <>< ~~ >< ~~ <

Allison Castellan

Coastal Management Specialist

Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management N/ORM3

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, SSMC4

ED\_001135\_00009754 EPA\_002436

Silver Spring, MD 20910

Phone: 301-563-1125

Fax: 301-713-4004

allison.castellan@noaa.gov

http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov